[4310-6W-P]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

25 CFR Part 11

[Docket ID: BIA-2013-0001]

RIN 1076-AF16

Courts of Indian Offenses

AGENCY:

Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

ACTION:

Interim final rule with request for comments.

SUMMARY: This interim final rule adds two Indian tribes to the list of tribes with Courts of Indian Offenses (also known as CFR Courts), and deletes five tribes from those under the jurisdiction of CFR Courts. The two tribes to be added are Skull Valley Band of Goshute Indians and the Seneca-Cayuga Tribe. The tribes to be removed from the list are the Seminole Nation, the Miami Tribe, the Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma, the Wyandotte Tribe, and the Quapaw Tribe.

DATES: This interim final rule is effective on [INSERT DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER]. Submit comments by [30 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER].

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- Federal rulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. The rule is listed under the agency name "Bureau of Indian Affairs." The rule has been assigned Docket ID: BIA-20130001. If you would like to submit comments through the Federal e-Rulemaking Portal, go to www.regulations.gov and do the following. Go to the box entitled "Enter Keyword or ID," type in "BIA-2013-0001," and click the "Search" button. The next screen will display the Docket Search Results for the rulemaking. If you click on BIA-2013-0001, you can view this rule and submit a comment. You can also view any supporting material and any comments submitted by others.

-<u>E-mail</u>: consultation@bia.gov. Include the number 1076-AF16 in the subject line of the message.

- Mail: Elizabeth Appel, Office of Regulatory Affairs & Collaborative Action, U.S.
 Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street, N.W., MIB-4141-MS, Washington, DC 20240.
 Include the number 1076-AF16 in the subject line of the message.

Hand delivery: Elizabeth Appel, Office of Regulatory Affairs & Collaborative Action,
 U.S. Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street, N.W., MS 4141, Washington, DC 20240.
 Include the number 1076- AF16 in the subject line of the message.

We cannot ensure that comments received after the close of the comment period (see DATES) will be included in the docket for this rulemaking and considered. Comments sent to an address other than those listed above will not be included in the docket for this rulemaking.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth Appel, Acting Director, Office of Regulatory Affairs & Collaborative Action, (202) 273-4680; elizabeth.appel@bia.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

- I. Summary of Rule
- II. Procedural Requirements
 - A. Regulatory Planning and Review (E.O. 12866)
 - B. Regulatory Flexibility Act
 - C. Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act

- D. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act
- E. Takings (E.O. 12630)
- F. Federalism (E.O. 13132)
- G. Civil Justice Reform (E.O. 12988)
- H. Consultation with Indian Tribes (E.O. 13175)
- I. Paperwork Reduction Act
- J. National Environmental Policy Act
- K. Information Quality Act
- L. Effects on the Energy Supply (E.O. 13211)
- M. Clarity of this Regulation
- N. Public Availability of Comments
- O. Determination To Issue an Interim Final Rule with Immediate Effective Date

I. Summary of Rule

This rule revises a section of 25 CFR part 11 to add the following Indian tribes to the list of tribes with established Courts of Indian Offenses (also known as CFR Courts): the Seneca-Cayuga Tribe and the Skull Valley Band of Goshute Indians. This rule inserts these tribes into 25 CFR 11.100. The tribes' names were inserted where they will appear in the list of tribes alphabetically, therefore necessitating redesignation of some of the paragraph numbers in the regulatory text, and placing the tribes in alphabetical order, where they were not.

The rule also revises a section of 25 CFR 11.100(c) to remove five tribes from the list of those with established CFR Courts. The tribes to be removed from the list are the Seminole Nation, the Miami Tribe, the Wyandotte Tribe, the Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma, and the Quapaw Tribe of Indians. This rule removes these tribes from 25 CFR 11.100(c). The tribes' names will no longer appear in the list of tribes alphabetically, therefore necessitating redesignation of some of the paragraph numbers in the regulatory text, and placing the tribes in alphabetical order, where they were not. Adding these tribes will allow for the administration of justice until the added tribes put into effect a law-and-order code that establishes a court system

that meets regulatory requirements or until the tribe adopts a legal code and establishes a judicial system in accordance with its constitution and bylaws or other governing documents.

Courts of Indian Offenses operate in those areas of Indian country where tribes retain jurisdiction over Indians that is exclusive of State jurisdiction but where tribal courts have not been established to exercise that jurisdiction. The Skull Valley Band of Goshute Indians and the Seneca-Cayuga Tribe have limited resources and are in need of a judicial forum. The Eastern Seneca was once listed as being under the jurisdiction of the CFR Court, was removed from the list, and now is again in need of a judicial forum. The Wyandotte Tribe of Oklahoma, Seminole Nation of Oklahoma, Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma, the Miami Tribe, and the Quapaw Tribe of Indians were previously listed as being within the jurisdiction of the CFR Court and have, or are in the process of establishing, tribal courts; and are therefore no longer in need of an extra-tribal judicial forum.

Two tribes left the jurisdiction of the CFR Court to form their own courts since 25 CFR 11.100 was last revised, but are now seeking to come back under the jurisdiction of the CFR Court: the Delaware Nation and the Eastern Shawnee Tribe. Because no change was made to the rule to remove these tribes from list of CFR Courts, no revision is necessary now. This rule confirms that both the Delaware Nation and Eastern Shawnee Tribe are on the list of tribes with CFR Courts.

The following table lists the changes made to § 11.100:

Current § 11.100	Interim Final Rule § 11.100
(a)(1) Te-Moak Band of Western Shoshone	Moved to (a)(3).
Indians (Nevada);	
(a)(2) Ute Mountain Ute Tribe (Colorado);	Moved to (a)(6)
(a)(3) Tribes located in the former Oklahoma	Moved to (a)(4).
Territory (Oklahoma) that are listed in	
paragraph (b) of this section;	

(a)(4) Tribes located in the former Indian Territory (Oklahoma) that are listed in	Moved to (a)(5)
paragraph (c) of this section;	NA 1. ()(7)
(a)(5) Winnemucca Indian Tribe; and	Moved to (a)(7).
(a)(6) Santa Fe Indian School property,	Moved to (a)(1).
including Santa Fe Indian Health Hospital,	
and the Albuquerque Indian School Property	
(land held in trust for the 19 Pueblos of New	
Mexico).	
[Cell Left Intentionally Blank]	Added Skull Valley Band of Goshutes
	Indians (Utah) to (a)(2).
(b)(1) Apache Tribe of Oklahoma;	No change.
(b)(2) Caddo Nation of Oklahoma;	No change.
(b)(3) Comanche Nation (except Comanche	No change.
Children's Court)	
(b)(4) Delaware Nation;	No change.
(b)(5) Fort Sill Apache Tribe of Oklahoma;	No change.
(b)(6) Kiowa Tribe of Oklahoma;	No change.
(b)(7) Otoe-Missouria Tribe of Oklahoma;	No change.
(b)(8) Wichita and Affiliated Tribes of	No change.
Oklahoma.	_
(c)(1) Choctaw Nation;	Deleted.
(c)(2) Seminole Nation;	Deleted.
(c)(3) Eastern Shawnee Tribe;	Moved to $(c)(1)$.
(c)(4) Miami Tribe;	Deleted.
(c)(5) Modoc Tribe;	Moved to (c)(2).
(c)(6) Ottawa Tribe;	Moved to $(c)(3)$.
(c)(7) Peoria Tribe;	Moved to $(c)(4)$.
(c)(8) Quapaw Tribe; and	Deleted.
(c)(9) Wyandotte Nation.	Deleted.
[Cell Left Intentionally Blank]	Added Seneca-Cayuga Tribe of
	Oklahoma to $(c)(5)$.

II. Procedural Requirements

A. Regulatory Planning and Review (E.O. 12866 and 13563)

Executive Order (E.O.) 12866 provides that the Office of Information and Regulatory

Affairs (OIRA) at the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) will review all significant rules.

OIRA has determined that this rule is not significant.

E.O. 13563 reaffirms the principles of E.O. 12866 while calling for improvements in the Nation's regulatory system to promote predictability, to reduce uncertainty, and to use the best, most innovative, and least burdensome tools for achieving regulatory ends. The E.O. directs agencies to consider regulatory approaches that reduce burdens and maintain flexibility and freedom of choice for the public where these approaches are relevant, feasible, and consistent with regulatory objectives. E.O. 13563 emphasizes further that regulations must be based on the best available science and that the rulemaking process must allow for public participation and an open exchange of ideas. We have developed this rule in a manner consistent with these requirements. This rule is also part of the Department's commitment under the Executive Order to reduce the number and burden of regulations.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic effect on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.).

C. Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act

This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804(2), the Small Business Regulatory

Enforcement Fairness Act. It will not result in the expenditure by State, local, or tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100 million or more in any one year.

The rule's requirements will not result in a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State, or local government agencies, or geographic regions. Nor will this rule have significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of the U.S.-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises.

D. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

This rule does not impose an unfunded mandate on State, local, or tribal governments or the private sector of more than \$100 million per year. The rule does not have a significant or unique effect on State, local, or tribal governments or the private sector. A statement containing the information required by the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (2 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) is not required.

E. Takings (E.O. 12630)

Under the criteria in Executive Order 12630, this rule does not affect individual property rights protected by the Fifth Amendment nor does it involves a compensable "taking." A takings implication assessment is not required.

F. Federalism (E.O. 13132)

Under the criteria in Executive Order 13132, this rule has no substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

G. Civil Justice Reform (E.O. 12988)

This rule complies with the requirements of Executive Order 12988. Specifically, this rule has been reviewed to eliminate errors and ambiguity and written to minimize litigation; and is written in clear language and contains clear legal standards.

H. Consultation with Indian Tribes (E.O. 13175)

In accordance with the President's memorandum of April 29, 1994, "Government-to-Government Relations with Native American Tribal Governments," Executive Order 13175 (59 FR 22951, November 6, 2000), and 512 DM 2, we have evaluated the potential effects on

federally recognized Indian tribes and Indian trust assets. During development of the rule, the Department discussed the rule with the affected tribes.

I. Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain any information collections requiring approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.

J. National Environmental Policy Act

This rule does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment because it is of an administrative, technical, and procedural nature.

K. Effects on the Energy Supply (E.O. 13211)

This rule is not a significant energy action under the definition in Executive Order 13211.

A Statement of Energy Effects is not required.

L. Clarity of this Regulation

We are required by Executive Orders 12866 and 12988 and by the Presidential Memorandum of June 1, 1998, to write all rules in plain language. This means that each rule we publish must:

- a) Be logically organized;
- b) Use the active voice to address readers directly;
- c) Use clear language rather than jargon;
- d) Be divided into short sections and sentences; and,
- e) Use lists and tables wherever possible.

If you feel that we have not met these requirements, send us comments by one of the methods listed in the "COMMENTS" section. To better help us revise the rule, your comments should be as specific as possible. For example, you should tell us the numbers of the sections or

paragraphs that are unclearly written, which sections or sentences are too long, the sections where you believe lists or tables would be useful, etc.

M. Public Availability of Comments

Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment — including your personal identifying information — may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

N. Required Determinations Under the Administrative Procedure Act

We are publishing this interim final rule with a request for comment without prior notice and comment, as allowed under 5 U.S.C. 553(b). Under 553(b), we find that prior notice and comment are unnecessary and would be contrary to the public interest. This rule is necessary to ensure that these tribes have courts to administer justice on land under their jurisdiction. Prior notice and comment are unnecessary and would be contrary to the public interest because access to judicial process may be impeded or interrupted to a degree that the governmental function of providing justice for all tribal members is impaired.

As allowed under 5 U.S.C. 553 (d)(3), the effective date of this rule is the date of publication in the Federal Register. Good cause for an immediate effective date exists because the delay in publishing this rule would inhibit access to justice for tribal members and likely obstruct speedy trial rights for members of those tribes seeking to come under the jurisdiction of CFR Courts, and would diminish the sovereign right of those tribes to establish their own tribal courts and to assume personal and subject-matter jurisdiction now asserted by CFR Courts.

We have requested comments on this interim final rule. We will review any comments received and, by a future publication in the <u>Federal Register</u>, address any comments received and either confirm the interim final rule with or without change or initiate a proposed rulemaking.

List of Subjects in 25 CFR Part 11

Courts, Indians-law.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs, amends part 11 in Title 25 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 11—COURTS OF INDIAN OFFENSES AND LAW AND ORDER CODE

1. The authority for part 11 continues to read as follows:

AUTHORITY: 5 U.S.C. 301; R.S. 463, 25 U.S.C. 2; R.S. 465, 25 U.S.C. 9; 42 Stat. 208, 25 U.S.C. 13; 38 Stat. 586, 25 U.S.C. 200.

2. Revise § 11.100 to read as follows:

§ 11.100 Where are Courts of Indian Offenses established?

- (a) Unless indicated otherwise in this title, these Courts of Indian Offenses areestablished and the regulations in this part apply to the Indian country (as defined in 18 U.S.C.1151 and by Federal court precedent) occupied by the following tribes:
- (1) Santa Fe Indian School Property, including the Santa Fe Indian Health Hospital, and the Albuquerque Indian School Property (land held in trust for the 19 Pueblos of New Mexico);
 - (2) Skull Valley Band of Goshutes Indians (Utah);
 - (3) Te-Moak Band of Western Shoshone Indians (Nevada);
- (4) Tribes located in the former Oklahoma Territory (Oklahoma) that are listed in paragraph (b) of this section;

(5) Tribes located in the former Indian Territory (Oklahoma) that are listed in paragraph

(c) of this section;

(6) Ute Mountain Ute Tribe (Colorado); and

(7) Winnemucca Indian Tribe.

(b) This part applies to the following tribes located in the former Oklahoma Territory

(Oklahoma):

(1) Apache Tribe of Oklahoma;

(2) Caddo Nation of Oklahoma;

(3) Comanche Nation (except Comanche Children's Court);

(4) Delaware Nation;

(5) Fort Sill Apache Tribe of Oklahoma;

(6) Kiowa Indian Tribe of Oklahoma;

(7) Otoe-Missouria Tribe of Indians; and

(8) Wichita and Affiliated Tribe of Indians.

(c) This part applies to the following tribes located in the former Indian Territory

(Oklahoma):

(1) Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma;

(2) Modoc Tribe of Oklahoma;

(3) Ottawa Tribe of Oklahoma;

(4) Peoria Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma; and

(5) Seneca-Cayuga Tribe of Oklahoma.

Dated: February 21 2013.

11

Vavin V. Washburn

Kevin K. Washburn Assistant Secretary – Indian Affairs

[FR Doc. 2013-04824 Filed 03/01/2013 at 8:45 am; Publication Date: 03/04/2013]